

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,396	05/01/2004	Elie Seidman	EPNA.P-002 1792	
	7590 04/13/200 nt Law Firm LLC	7	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 4850)		LE, UYEN CHAU N	
FRISCO, CO 8	0443-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/13/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket-oppedahl@oppedahl.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
No Constant	10/709,396	SEIDMAN, ELIE
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Uyen-Chau N. Le	2876
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	· · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · · _ ·	
This application is abandoned in view of:	•	
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply was received on, but it does to a content of the period for reply was received on, but it does to a content of the period for the period for the period for reply was received on, but it does to a content of the period for the period for reply was received on, but it does to a content of the period for reply was received on, but it does to a content of the period for reply was received on, but it does to a content of the period for reply was received on, but it does to a content of the period for reply was received on, but it does to a content of the period for reply was received on, but it does to a content of the period for reply was received on, but it does to a content of the period for reply was received on, but it does to a content of the period for reply was received on, but it does to a content of the period for reply was received on, but it does to a content of the period for reply was received on, but it does to a content of the period for reply was received on, but it does to a content of the period for reply was received on, but it does to a content of the period for reply was received on	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on), which is after the expiration of the
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) No reply has been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). s received on (with a Certification	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.	
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	uired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is
(b) \(\sum \) No corrected drawings have been received.		
I. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 		e the period for seeking court review
7. ⊠ The reason(s) below:	•	
Mr. Oppedahl indicated that no response has been confirmed the abandonment of the instant application		ction mailed 09/27/2006 and
		Uchanle
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Uyen-Chau N. Le Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2876
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	aw the holding of abandonment under 37	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to